

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,840		04/06/2001	Igor Y. Khandros	TESSERA 3.3-018 CCIIDCCII		
530	7590	04/28/2004		EXAMINER		
LERNER	, DAVID	, LITTENBERG,	GRAYBILL, DAVID E			
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LD, NJ		2827			

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Ac	diam Communication	09/827,840	KHANDROS ET AL.				
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David E Graybill	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 October 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is F	TINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)The drawing(s)-filed-onis/are:-a)accepted or b)objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References City		4) Interview Summary (					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's</li> <li>Information Disclosure S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Claims 1-34 of this application has been copied by the applicant from U. S. Patent No. 6,049.129. These claims are not patentable to the applicant because they are anticipated and unpatentable over Hinrichsmeyer (4996587).

An interference cannot be initiated since a prerequisite for interference under 37 CFR 1.606 is that the claim be patentable to the applicant subject to a judgement in the interference.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8-18, 22-24, 26-31 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hinrchsmeyer (4996587).

Hinrichsmeyer (Figs. 5 and 6) discloses an integrated circuit package comprising an interposer substrate 10 comprising an inherent thin sheet "carrier" having an opening 15 having an outline, first and second surfaces, a plurality of routing strips 24, an inherent bus line (column 2, lines 44-55) integral with the substrate, a plurality of bonding terminal pads (not labeled, in contact with 27) disposed on said first surface, at least one pad being electrically connected with at least on of said routing strips, a chip 19

adhered to said second surface of said substrate, said chip having an outline that is substantially the same as said outline of said substrate (at least where the substrate and chip outline abut), at least one contact, bond pad 21, wire bonding 22 electrically connecting said at least one bonding pad to at least one of said wire bonding pad to at least one of said routing strips (column 2, lines 44-55), and potting material 25 filling said opening to cover said wire bonding and said at least one bonding pad; with at least one connection terminal, solder ball (column 3, line21) comprising a mass of solder disposed on said first surface of said substrate on at least one of said pads electrically connected to said contact; wherein said terminals are integral with said interposer and disposed between two layers; wherein an electrically conductive layer is formed on said interposer.

The following-is-a-quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the

contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7, 19, 25 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinrichsmeyer as applied to claims 1, 18 and 31.

Hinrichsmeyer does not appear to explicitly disclose wherein said substrate comprises one or more layers each less than 0.5 mm thick.

Notwithstanding, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions-because-applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777

(Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Applicant's remarks filed 10-8-3 have been fully considered, and applicant's sole argument that Hinrichsmeyer does not teach that the outlines are the same is addressed in the rejections supra; namely, "said chip having an outline that is substantially the same as said outline of said substrate (at least where the substrate and chip outline abut)."

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group 2800 Customer Service whose telephone number is 571-272-2815.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (571) 272-1930. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is (703) 872-9306.

David E. Graybill

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827

D.G. 23-Apr-04